

**To:** Furtak, Sarah[Furtak.Sarah@epa.gov]; Haire, Michael[Haire.Michael@epa.gov]  
**Cc:** Whitlock, Steve[Whitlock.Steve@epa.gov]  
**From:** Furtak, Sarah  
**Sent:** Wed 6/26/2013 6:37:31 PM  
**Subject:** 2007 TMDL check-in

**\*DRAFT-DELIBERATIVE\***

Call-id: **Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy**  
Code:

Holding time to quickly follow-up with you on questions about the 2007 TMDL. Here are the questions for the Region which I captured yesterday from our chat:

- What happened to the draft TMDL [http://www.deq.idaho.gov/media/571574-pend\\_oreille\\_river\\_temp\\_tmdl\\_draft\\_081007.pdf](http://www.deq.idaho.gov/media/571574-pend_oreille_river_temp_tmdl_draft_081007.pdf)? Why was it pulled off the street?
- Why was the 2007 draft TMDL an Idaho-Washington-Tribal effort?
- In the 2007 TMDL, it appears a day-to-day analysis (non-CFA) was employed as was the same model as the 2011 TMDL. Allocations were assigned to Albeni Falls Dam, and it appears we were able to meet WQS. Why was there no allocation to Albeni in the 2011 TMDL?

Feel free to counter.

Email from Mike 6/25/13:

Folks:

## Ex. 5 - Deliberative

Another interesting tidbit from this document. estimated travel time between Albeni Falls and Box Canyon dam is approximately 12 - 36 hours - depending on release flows from Albeni Dam

Also, they state that the existing watershed is 93% forest, 4% agriculture, 2% range land and 1 % developed. I would guess that a "natural condition model run WITH DAMS IN PLACE using this land use would produce a result very similar to the existing conditions

[http://www.deq.idaho.gov/media/571574-pend\\_oreille\\_river\\_temp\\_tmdl\\_draft\\_081007.pdf](http://www.deq.idaho.gov/media/571574-pend_oreille_river_temp_tmdl_draft_081007.pdf)